#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 407 175 PS 025 443

AUTHOR Thompson, Elizabeth E.; Miller, Pamela O'Dell

TITLE Parental Beliefs and Use of Parental Discipline: The Role of

Religious Affiliation.

PUB DATE Apr 97

NOTE 9p.; Paper presented at the Biennial Meeting of the Society

for Research in Child Development (62nd, Washington, DC,

April 3-6, 1997).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Child Rearing; Children; Comparative Analysis; \*Corporal

Punishment; \*Discipline; Factor Analysis; \*Parent Attitudes;

Parent Child Relationship; Parents; \*Religious Cultural

Groups; Religious Differences

IDENTIFIERS Parenting Styles

#### ABSTRACT

This study examined: (1) whether parents of different religious affiliations varied in their reported use of a range of discipline techniques; and (2) religious differences in parents' attitudes about the corporal punishment they received as children, and the corporal punishment they administer to their own children. Data were collected from 79 mothers and 39 fathers of 118 3-year-old children. Eighty percent of the participants were European-Americans, 2 percent African-American, 15 percent Hispanic-American, with 3 percent not reporting ethnic affiliation. The majority of parents had at least a college degree; 88 percent had an annual family income of \$30,000 and above. The parents completed three questionnaires: Demographics, including religious affiliation; Parental Responses to Child Misbehavior; and Parental Attitudes Toward Spanking (PATS). Four groups were formed on the basis of religious affiliation: (1) liberal Protestants; (2) conservative Protestants; (3) Roman Catholics; and (4) no preference. Results indicated that religious affiliation was associated with the types of discipline parents reported using in an average week; conservative Protestants reported spanking significantly more often than parents in the other three groups. A factor analysis of items from the PATS revealed four factors: perceptions of Appropriateness and Severity of spanking received from their parents, and the Instrumentality and Emotionality associated with spanking their own children. Conservative Protestants rated their own childhood spankings as appropriate more often than did parents in two or more of the other groups, and they endorsed more strongly the belief that spanking is instrumentally effective with their own children. (Contains 8 references.) (KDFB)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

\*

\* from the original document.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

# Parental Beliefs and Use of Parental Discipline:

The Role of Religious Affiliation

Elizabeth E. Thompson

Pamela O'Dell Miller

University of Texas at Austin

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Poster presented at the biennial meetings of the Society for Research in Child Development, Washington, D.C., April, 1997. Please address correspondence to the first author at Department of Human Ecology, Mary Gearing Hall, University of Texas, Austin, Texas, 78712-1097.





#### Introduction

Proponents of the ecological perspective on parenting behavior argue that childrearing in any specific family occurs in the context of micro-, exo-, and macro-systems (Belsky, 1980), the latter of which includes a family's religious affiliation (Wiehe, 1990). Although religious differences as a source of behavioral differences have been largely ignored by social scientists (Hadden, 1987), recently some attention has been directed toward differences among religious groups in attitudes about and parents' use of corporal punishment (Ellison, Bartowski, & Segal, 1996; Ellison, & Sherkat, 1993). The present study extends that research by examining whether parents of four religious affiliations vary in their reported use of a range of discipline techniques. Because corporal punishment in particular has come under mounting criticism as a child rearing practice (American Academy of Pediatrics, 1996; Straus, 1994), this study also examines religious differences in parents' attitudes about the corporal punishment they received as children as well as the corporal punishment they have meted out as parents. Such attitudes may be the source of differences in the frequency with which parents report using corporal punishment.

## Method

Independent data were collected from 79 mothers and 39 fathers of 3-year-old children (49 males, 69 females). Ninety-four (80%) of the participants were European-American, 2 (2%) were African-American, 17 (15%) were Hispanic-American, and 4 (3%) did not report their ethnic affiliation. The majority of the parents had at least a college degree (65%); the rest had either attended some college or completed high school. Eighty-eight percent of the parents reported annual family incomes of \$30,000 and above, with the remaining (12%) reporting incomes between \$15,000 and \$29,000. Participating parents completed three questionnaires: Demographics, including religious affiliation; Parental Responses to Child Misbehavior (PRCM; Holden, Coleman, & Schmidt, 1995); and Parental Attitudes Toward Spanking (PATS, developed for this study). On the PRCM parents indicated on a 7-point scale from "never" to "9 or more times per week" how often they engage in nine common disciplinary practices in an average week (reason, divert, negotiate, threaten, time-out, spank, ignore, withdraw, and yell). The PATS is a



26-item questionnaire that asks parents about their childhood experiences of being spanked as well as their current experiences spanking their own children.

#### Results

Parents were divided into four groups according to their professed religious affiliation: Liberal Protestants ( $\underline{n} = 43$ ), Conservative Protestants ( $\underline{n} = 19$ ), Roman Catholics ( $\underline{n} = 33$ ), and "no preference" ( $\underline{n} = 23$ ). Preliminary analyses found no differences for parent sex or sex of target child. Further, no significant differences were found between religious groups with regard to socioeconomic variables such as occupational status, educational level, or income (F[12, 191] = 1.39, n.s.).

A MANOVA performed on the PRCM determined that religious affiliation was significantly associated with the types of discipline parents reported using in an average week (F [27, 290] = 1.57, p < .05). The follow-up ANOVAs and t-tests reported in Table 1 reveal that parents of the four religious affiliation groups only differed in the frequency of their use of spanking; Conservative Protestants reported spanking significantly more often than parents of the other three religious groups.

A factor analysis of items from the PATS questionnaire resulted in four factors: perceptions of Appropriateness and Severity of spankings received from their parents, and the Instrumentality and Emotionality associated with their spanking their own children. A significant MANOVA effect for religion was found for the four factors (F [12, [260] = 2.04, p < .05). Reliable group differences emerged for the Appropriateness and Instrumentality factors. In both cases, Conservative Protestants differed from two or more of the other groups in the extent to which they rated their childhood spankings as appropriate and in their endorsement of the belief that spanking is more instrumentally effective with their children (see Table 2).



### **Discussion and Conclusion**

This investigation of the link between religious affiliation and parental discipline demonstrates that religious beliefs, as they pertain to child rearing, are indeed associated with different parenting practices. In particular, Conservative Protestant parents had more favorable beliefs about corporal punishment and used corporal punishment more frequently than parents with Liberal Protestant, Roman Catholic, or non-preferential religious affiliations. More than the three other religious groups examined here, Conservative Protestant parents endorsed the instrumental effectiveness and appropriateness of corporal punishment as a discipline technique, indicating that the differences in the frequency with which parents reported using spanking may be due to differences in their beliefs about the utility of spanking. It is equally important to note that parents of the four religious groups did not differ in the frequency with which they reported using eight other disciplinary techniques including threatening and yelling, two practices that may be equally as aversive to children as corporal punishment. Yet given the long- and short-term risks associated with corporal punishment in particular (American Academy of Pediatrics, 1996; Straus, 1994), these findings of religious differences are important for determining the etiology of this controversial child rearing practice. Our results highlight the need to include religious affiliation in the category of social-cognitive factors that determine parenting behavior and to examine its effects on parent-child relationships.



#### References

- American Academy of Pediatrics. (1996, October). The short- and long-term consequences of corporal punishment. Pediatrics, 98, 803-860.
- Belsky, J. (1980). Child maltreatment: An ecological integration. American Psychologist, <u>35</u>, 320-335.
- Ellison, C. G., Bartowski, J. P., & Segal, M. L. (1996). Conservative Protestantism and the parental use of corporal punishment. Social Forces, 74, 1003-1028.
- Ellison, C. G., & Sherkat, D. E. (1993). Conservative Protestantism and support for corporal punishment. American Sociological Review, 58, 131-144.
- Hadden, J. K. (1987). Toward desacralizing secularization theory. Social Forces, 65, 587-611.
- Holden, G. W., Coleman, S., & Schmidt, K. L. (1995). Why 3-year-old children get spanked: Parent and child determinants as reported by college-educated mothers. Merrill-Palmer Quarterly, 41, 431-452.
- Straus, M. A. (1994). Beating the devil out of them. New York: Lexington.
- Wiehe, V. R. (1990). Religious influence on parental attitudes toward the use of corporal punishment. Journal of Family Violence, 5, 173-186.



Religious Differences in Parental Responses to Children's Misbehaviors

Table 1

		Religious	s Preference			
Response	Roman Catholic $N = 33^1$	Liberal Protestant <u>N</u> = 43	Conservative Protestant $N = 19$	No Preference $N = 23$	<u>F</u>	р
Reason	5.8	6.0	5.3	6.2	1.83	.15
Divert	5.4	5.3	4.7	5.5	1.04	.38
Negotiate	5.4	4.8	4.2	5.6	2.59	.06
Threaten	3.9	3.8	3.8	4.1	.18	.91
Time-out	3.9	3.7	3.4	3.4	.62	.60
Spank or Slap	1.7ª	1.8°	2.7 <sup>b</sup>	1.6°	5.17**	.002
Ignore	3.2	3.0	2.7	3.2	.37	.78
Withdraw Privileges	2.7	3.1	3.1	2.9	.30	.83
Yell	3.8	3.2	2.4	3.0	2.38	.07

Notes. N = 118. Means range from 0 (never) to 7 (nine or more times per week). Means in the same row that do not share superscripts differed at  $\underline{p} < .05$  in follow-up  $\underline{t}$  tests. Sample sizes in individual analyses differ.



 Religious Differences in Parental Attitudes Toward Spanking

				Religious	Religious Preference			
	Sample Item	Total Number of Items	Roman Catholic $N=33^1$	Liberal Protestant N= 43	Conservative Protestant N= 19	No Preference N= 23	ഥ	а
Childhood Memories Appropriateness	"How good for you was being spanked as a child?"	2	3.7ªbc	3.5%	4.7 <sup>b</sup>	2.7°	4.32**	.007
Severity	"Usually how severe were the spankings you received?"	2	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.7	.53	.67
With Own Children Instrumentality	"How effective do you think spanking is to get your child to stop misbehaving in the future?"	12	3.7ªc	ى ئو.	5.0 <sup>b</sup>	3.0°	6.70***	.001
Emotionality	"After your child has misbehaved and right before you discipline him/her, how irritated do you usually feel?"	3	5.0	5.0	4.3	5.1	2.01	.12
								l

Notes.  $\overline{N} = 118$ . Means range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). Means in the same row that do not share superscripts differed at  $\underline{p} < .05$  in follow-up  $\underline{t}$  tests. <sup>1</sup> Sample sizes in individual analyses differ.



# U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



# REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

Author(s): Elizab	us affiliation. eth E. Thompson 3 Par	nela O'Dell Miller	
Corporate Source:	•	Publ	cation Date:
in the monthly abstract jour paper copy, and electronic,	ON RELEASE:  e as widely as possible timely and significant in the trial of the ERIC system, Resources in Educator (optical media, and sold through the ERIC Do a document, and, if reproduction release is gra	ion (RIE), are usually made available to use cument Reproduction Service (EDRS) or oth	rs in microfiche, reproduced
	ed to reproduce and disseminate the identified		
Check here For Level 1 Release: Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents  PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents  PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	Check here For Level 2 Release Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4° x 6° film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but not in paper copy.
	Level 1	Level 2	

Thereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Signature:

Printed Name/Position/Title:

Elizabeth E. Thompson, PhD Candidate

Organization/Address:

Telephone:

(512) 471-4912

(512) 471-5630

E-Mail Address:

Date:

Austin, TX 78712-1097

E-thompson Email-uleras.

8-2-1997

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign



Clearinghouse on Elementary and Early Childhood Education National Parent Information Network

Children's Research Center 51 Gerty Drive Champaign, IL 61820-7469

217 333-1386 217 333-3767 fax

800 583-4135 toll free ericeece@uiuc.edu e-mail

March 25, 1997

## Dear Colleague:

It has come to our attention that you will be participating in the 62nd BIENNIAL MEETING OF THE SOCIETY FOR RESEARCH IN CHILD DEVELOPMENT to be held April 3-6, 1997, in Washington, D.C. We would like you to consider submitting your presentation, or any other recently written education-related papers or reports, for possible inclusion in the ERIC database.

As you may know, ERIC (the Educational Resources Information Center) is a federally-sponsored information system for the field of education. Its main product is the ERIC database, the world's largest source of education information. The Clearinghouse on Elementary and Early Childhood Education is one of sixteen subject-specialized clearinghouses making up the ERIC system. We collect and disseminate information relating to all aspects of children's development, care, and education.

Ideally, your paper should be at least eight pages long and not have been published elsewhere at the time of submission. It will be reviewed and we will let you know within six weeks if it has been accepted.

Please complete the reproduction release on the back of this letter and return it with two copies of your presentation to **Booth #25** at the conference or mail to **ERIC/EECE**. If you have any questions, please come and see us during the conference or call 1/800/583-4135 or e-mail < ksmith5@uiuc.edu >.

Sincerely,

Karen E. Smith

**Acquisitions Coordinator** 

